

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/527,350 | 03/17/2000 | MASAHITO NIIKAWA | 15162/01620 6531 | | |
| 24367 | 7590 10/08/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP | | | TILLERY, RASHAWN N | | |
| SUITE 3400 | I HARWOOD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DALLAS, 7 | ALLAS, TX 75201 2612 | | | 7 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003 | DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N | о. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/527,350 | | NIIKAWA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Rashawn N Ti | | 2612 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2000. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | is action is nor | -final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>24 November 2000</u> is: a) \boxtimes approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 | 4)[5)[6)[| Notice of Informal F | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim shows dependency from itself; because of this discrepancy, the examiner will interpret the claim as depending from claim 25. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al (US5768604) in view of Matsuzaki et al (US5627569).

Regarding claims 1 and 15, Yamazaki discloses, in figure 1, an electronic information device comprising:

Art Unit: 2612

a display (13);

an electronic power source for supplying driving power to the display (see col. 4, lines 24-30); and

a controller which, in response to a command to turn off the electric power source which is issued while the display is performing writing by consuming electric power supplied from the electric power source, turns off the electric power source after completion of the writing (see col. 5, lines 15-36; examiner notes that Yamazaki writes the image data to a video memory).

Yamazaki does not expressly disclose the use of a display with uses a material having a memory effect.

Matsuzaki reveals that it is well known in the art to utilize ferroelectric liquid crystal displays for their memory effect (see col. 1, lines 31-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Yamazaki's teachings of displaying image data using a conventional display with Matsuzaki's teachings of a display with a memory effect. One would have been motivated to implement Matsuzaki's teachings in an effort to retain a display state for a substantially long time. The examiner further notes that displays with a memory effect are known for consuming less electric power.

Regarding claims 2 and 16, Yamazaki discloses that the information is written on the display based on image data (see col. 3, line 2).

Regarding claims 3 and 17, Yamazaki discloses, in figure 1, an image pickup unit (15) which picks up an image of an object by use on an image sensor and produces the image data (see col. Line 6).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 4, Yamazaki teaches a computer system with a power saving mode which inhibits a power off command to the display once writing of image data is detected. Yamazaki does not expressly disclose displaying and writing thumbnail images. Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to display thumbnail images on a computer monitor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Yamazaki to implement such teachings since thumbnail images are notoriously associated with display devices.

Regarding claims 5 and 19, see claim 1 above. In addition, Yamazaki discloses an automatic power-off process which turns off the electric power source at a specified time (see col. 4, lines 24-50).

Regarding claims 6 and 20, Yamazaki discloses shifting from a "normal power-on state" to a "standby state" after a predetermined timing period has elapsed; and thus, inherently teaches a timer for counting a specified time period from a specified operation of the electronic information device and for determining the specified time to turn off the electric power source.

Regarding claims 7 and 21, Yamazaki discloses the specified operation includes an operation of a key switch (see col. 4, line 40).

Regarding claims 8 and 22, see claim 2 above.

Regarding claims 9 and 23, see claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 10, see claim 4 above.

Regarding claims 11 and 24, Yamazaki discloses, in figure 1, an electronic information device comprising:

Art Unit: 2612

a display (13);

a first input member with which an operator can input a specified command (suspend switch 410; see figure 4); and

a controller which, when the first input member is operated while writing on the display is being performed, invalidates the command sent from the first input member and, when the first input member is operated after completion of the writing, controls the electronic information device in accordance with the command sent from the first input member (see examiner's notes in claim 1).

Regarding claims 12 and 25, Yamazaki discloses the first input member is for inputting a command to shut off the supply of electric power to the display (see figure 4 where the suspend switch 410 shuts off power to the display).

Regarding claims 13 and 26, Yamazaki discloses, in figure 4, a second input member (Key Input Suspend SW 411) with which an operator can input a command which is different from the command inputted with the first input member;

wherein, the controller controls the electronic information device in accordance with the command sent from the second input member regardless of whether or not writing on the display is being performed.

Regarding claims 14 and 27, Yamazaki teaches a computer system with a power saving mode which inhibits a power off command to the display once writing of image data is detected. Yamazaki also reveals the use of a camera connected to the computer system for inputting image data; and thus has a shutter button. Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art that the capturing of image data using the shutter

Art Unit: 2612

button could be performed without affecting the writing of image data on a display (i.e. the image could be stored in the camera before it is sent to display); and thus it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art that the controller be able to control the electronic information device in accordance with the command sent from the second input member regardless of whether or not writing on the display is being performed since image capture does not directly affect writing on the display.

Regarding claim 18, see claim 4 above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Parulski et al teach an electronic camera tethered to a personal computer.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rashawn N Tillery whose telephone number is 703-305-0627. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

RNT

WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 7